

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,531 08/28/2003		08/28/2003	Tobias Horngren	14069.2US01	9032
23552	7590	05/30/2006		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC				BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3676	
				DATE MAIL ED. 05/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/650,531	HORNGREN ET AL.	HORNGREN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Christopher Boswell	3676			

	Christopher Boswell	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecting E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further count (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	7 77	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(I TOL-024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	-	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-7,9-29 and 31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowal	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s)	
		ino Barrett Examiner	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The inclusion of the lock slide mounted to the first security member and displaceable while remaining mounted to the first security member raises a new issue the requires further search and/or consideration.